Appl. No. 09/986,9 Amendment dated June 13, 2004 Reply to Examiner's Answer of April 19, 2004

**REMARKS** 

In the April 19, 2004 Examiner's Answer, claims 15-19 remain rejected in view of

prior art. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Status of Claims and Amendments

In this Amendment, Appellant wishes to amend claim 20 to rewrite it in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Thus,

claims 15-20 are pending, with claims 15 and 20 being the only independent claims.

Appellant respectfully requests entrance of the above-indicated amendment to claim

20. Since the paragraph 3 of the April 19, 2004 Examiner's Answer indicates that claim 20

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims, Appellant believes that this amendment to claim 20

renders the claim in condition for allowance and reduces the issues for appeal. Accordingly,

Appellant respectfully requests entrance of the amendment and reexamination and

reconsideration of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Kiyoe K. Kabashima

Reg. No. 54,874

SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP

1233 Twentieth Street, NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036

(202)-293-0444

Jane 14, 

Appeal.doc